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## Background \& rationale

Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

- Observation at FWF: Trend towards team-oriented research \& increasing interdisciplinarity (ID >= $15 \%^{*}$ according to discipline classification)
- Approval rate of interdisciplinary project applications (slightly) lower

| Programme | Target |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stand-alone projects | Single PI, open disciplinarity |
| Research groups | $3-5$ res., multi-/interdisciplinarity |
| Special research programmes | $5-15$ res., multi-/interdisciplinarity |
| Young independent researcher <br> groups (YIRG) | $3-5$ res., interdisciplinarity |
| Planned: Pioneer change labs | Team \#?, transdisciplinarity | (not shown: career development, international programmes,...)

## YIRG: a Postdoc-Programme for Innovative, Interdisciplinary Teams*

## Programme objectives

- Promotion of young postdocs (0-4 years after doctorate).
- Medium-term research cooperation (4 years) on a complex, current topic in mixed teams of at least 3 to max. 5 researchers\#.
- Research cooperation should be interdisciplinary and crossdisciplinary on innovative subjects.
- The research question is to be deepened or newly consolidated at least two research institutions or two organisational units of a research institution.
\#: considering gender equality
*jointly created with the Austrian Academy of Sciences


## Required description of cooperation
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Description of interdisciplinarity, thematic coherence and the expected value of innovation through cooperation in YIRG:
a. by using a coherent and consistent terminology
b. by identifying the relevant characteristics of the problem
c. by presenting the aspects to be examined
d. by integrating the various disciplinary theories into a common theoretical approach
e. through the description of how the synthesis is formed - common language, theoretical basis, individual contributions

In addition to: description of state-of-the-art in research, shared goals, questions and concepts, novelty, gender, ethics aspects.

## Decision-making process

- First stage: Expression of interest
- Formal check on eligibility of applicants
- Getting an idea about the range of research topic
- Second stage: Full proposal
- Three written reviews on proposal by external reviewers, trying to get the closest fit to the research topic
- FWF board members provide short summary on 3 reviews
- Proposed classification into a typical A, B, C scheme
- Jury decision based on proposal \& reviews
- Formal confirmation by FWF board


## Finding the Jury

- One Chair with ample experience in interdisciplinary research
- List of 12 renowned scientists/scholars
- 4 researchers representing each FWF department:
- Biology and Medicine
- Natural and Technical Sciences
- Social Sciences and Humanities
- Specific search for Jury Members according to various scientific/scholary disciplines in alignment with the topics of the applications received


## Response from the community

- Enormous interest of national and international early stage researchers/scholars
- Two events to prepare the community for the call (>100 participants each time)
- High willingness and flexibility observed with early stage scientists: open-minded, creative, flexible, thinking and acting across borders...
- FWF was commended for this programme; the community was enthusiastic about this new opportunity to work in teams, as well as to prepare a research programme with a solely interdisciplinary focus


## Distribution of applications

- 58 full proposals (max. 2 Mill $€$ per group plus $25 \%$ overhead costs)
- $33 \%$ Social \& Cultural Sciences \& Humanities
- 47\% Biology \& Medicine
- 20\% Natural \& Technical Sciences


## Distribution of applications

- $50 \%$ of the applications interlink 3 researchers
- $50 \%$ of the applications interlink 4 or 5 researchers
- Participation of women $47,7 \%$
- In $55 \%$ of all cases, coordinator has finished his/her doctorate within the last 2 years


## Preliminary results

- Level of interdisciplinarity (based on FoS categories) varied among projects
- Team composition of women and men researchers (30-50\% participation of the underrepresented gender)
- Within the excellent evaluated proposals researchers were commended for their highly innovative, truely interdisciplinary research programmes
- Within poorly evaluated proposals we have found many comments on the quality of the projects in terms of overambitious research design, methodology not elaborated enough; integration of the different research disciplines not sufficiently described


## Learnings

- Programme design: Interdisciplinarity requires experience - integrate senior researchers as mentors or partners
- Recommendations from the jury \& reviewers
- Provide learnings to the community on definition and scope of Interdisciplinarity - and to prepare community for next call
- Offer networking possibilities to facilitate idea complementation and match making across disciplines - more process orientation
- Increase awareness also towards transdisciplinary research
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